

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
EASTERN DIVISION

FILED RECEIVED  
ENTERED SERVED ON  
COURT CLERK OF DISTRICT

2010 AUG -2 P 11:31

CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY, )

Plaintiff(s), )

vs. )

OLIN CORPORATION, )

Defendant(s). )

Case No. 4:10CV0343 JCH

2:10-cv-01298-GMN-PAL

**ORDER OF TRANSFER**

In accordance with the Order entered on this date and incorporated herein,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED** that Plaintiff's Complaint is  
**TRANSFERRED** to the United States District Court, District of Nevada.

Dated this 30th day of July, 2010.

/s/ Jean C. Hamilton  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

A TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL  
JAMES G. WOODWARD, CLERK  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

BY: C. Alban  
DEPUTY CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
EASTERN DIVISION

CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY, )  
)  
Plaintiff(s), )  
)  
vs. )  
)  
OLIN CORPORATION, )  
)  
Defendant(s). )

Case No. 4:10CV343 JCH

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on Defendant Olin Corporation's Motion to Dismiss or Transfer Venue, filed May 6, 2010. (Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or Transfer Venue ("Motion"), Doc. No. 5). The Motion is fully briefed and ready for disposition.

**BACKGROUND**

Defendant purchased boiler and machinery property insurance from Plaintiff. (Complaint for Declaratory Judgment ("Compl."), Doc. No. 1, ¶ 1). On December 23, 2008, Defendant provided Plaintiff with a notice of loss at their chemical manufacturing facility ("Nevada Plant"). (*Id.*) Nevada Plant is located in Henderson, Nevada. (*Id.*, ¶ 7). On January 26, 2010 Plaintiff advised Defendant in a letter of its decision that damage to the Nevada Plant was not covered under the boiler and machinery property insurance policy. (Doc. No. 1-4, p. 1). The letter included detailed findings to support Plaintiff's decision not to cover the loss and invited Defendant to submit any additional information that could impact Plaintiff's decision. (*Id.*, p. 6). On February 26, 2010, Plaintiff filed this action for declaratory judgment seeking a judgment that the loss was not covered under the boiler and machinery property insurance policy. (Compl., *passim*). On April 29, 2010, Defendant filed a separate action against Plaintiff and other insurance companies for payment on policies and

FILED  
JAMES G. WOODWARD, CLERK  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
BY: C. Abner  
DEPUTY CLERK

the damages suffered at Nevada Plant in the District of Nevada. (Doc. No. 6-1).<sup>1</sup> On May 6, 2010, Defendant filed a Motion to Dismiss this action in favor of the Nevada action, or in the alternative a Motion to Transfer Venue to the District of Nevada. (Doc. No. 5). Because this Court grants Defendant's Motion to Transfer, the Court does not address the issues in the Motion to Dismiss so that they may be addressed by the District Court of Nevada.

## **DISCUSSION**

### **I. Motion to Transfer**

#### **A. Standard**

28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) governs the ability of a federal district court to transfer a case to another venue. That provision provides: "For the convenience of parties and witnesses, in the interest of justice, a district court may transfer any civil action to any other district or division where it might have been brought." *Id.* "In considering a § 1404(a) motion, the Court must give great weight to the plaintiff's choice of a proper venue. That choice should only be disturbed upon a clear showing that the balance of interests weighs in favor of the movant's choice of venue." Anheuser-Busch, Inc. v. City Merch., 176 F. Supp. 2d 951, 959 (E.D. Mo. 2001) (internal citations omitted). The court should consider these factors when evaluating a motion to transfer: "1) the convenience of the parties; 2) the convenience of non-party witnesses; 3) the availability of the judicial process to compel testimony from hostile witnesses; 4) the governing law; 5) relative ease of access to sources of proof; 6) possibility of delay and prejudice if transfer is granted; and 7) practical considerations of cost and

---

<sup>1</sup>In addition to Continental Casualty, the Nevada Plant was insured by the following property insurers: Factory Mutual Insurance Company, Zurich American Insurance Company, Zurich Insurance Ireland Ltd., National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, and ACE American Insurance Company (together, "Property Insurers"). (Reply in Support of Olin Corporation's Motion to Dismiss or Transfer ("Reply"), Doc. No. 16, p. 1, n. 1). The Property Insurers are all named in the Nevada action.

efficiency.” Id.; see also Terra Intern., Inc. v. Mississippi Chemical Corp., 119 F.3d 688, 691 (8th Cir. 1997) (“The statutory language reveals three general categories of factors that courts must consider when deciding a motion to transfer: (1) the convenience of the parties, (2) the convenience of the witnesses, and (3) the interests of justice.”). The convenience of the witnesses, however, is the “primary, if not most important” factor. Anheuser-Busch, Inc., 176 F. Supp. 2d at 959 (citing May Dep’t Stores Co. v. Wilansky, 900 F. Supp. 1154, 1165 (E.D. Mo. 1995)). After considering the factors considered under 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), this Court finds they favor granting the Motion to Transfer Venue.

B. Convenience of Witnesses

First, the convenience of the witnesses is best served by transferring the action to the District of Nevada. Defendant has identified eight likely witnesses that reside in Nevada. (Reply, p. 7). Plaintiff identifies seven witnesses from Tennessee, Texas, Rhode Island, New York, New Jersey, and California. (Continental Casualty’s Response to Olin’s Motion to Dismiss and/or Transfer Venue (“Response”), Doc. No. 14, p. 14; see also Reply, p. 7). None of those witnesses, however, reside in this District. Presumably these witnesses would be similarly inconvenienced by a trial in the District of Nevada. Plaintiff cites to Millennium Prods., Inc. v. Gravity Boarding Co., Inc., 127 F. Supp. 2d 974, 980 (N.D. Ill. 2000) to support the proposition that “the convenience of witnesses who are employees of a corporate party is less significant than that of non-party witnesses; it is reasonable to assume that these employees will be made available for trial[.]” Even if this Court accepts the proposition that corporate employees should be given less significance, venue in this district is still not warranted. Plaintiff has not named any potential witnesses from this District.

C. Access to Proof

Secondly, the parties will have easier access to the sources of proof in the District of Nevada. The losses occurred in the Nevada Plant in Henderson, Nevada. The damaged equipment is located at Nevada Plant. (Memorandum in Support of Defendant Olin Corporation's Motion to Dismiss or Transfer Venue ("Memorandum"), Doc. No. 6, p. 15). Having the trial in Nevada would allow the jury to view this evidence, if necessary.

Plaintiff argues that the threshold issue in this case is an interpretation of the insurance policy negotiated between AON and Continental Casualty. (Response, p. 13). Plaintiff claims the testimony of New York witnesses from AON would be required. (Id.) The Court, however, does not find that venue in Missouri would be more convenient to those witnesses than venue in Nevada. Likewise, evidence regarding the insurance policy and other documents are easily transportable and viewable in any location, unlike the Nevada Plant.

D. Residence of Defendant

Plaintiff emphasizes that the defendant, Olin Corporation, resides in the district. (Response, pp. 1, 12). The Court notes that Olin's residence makes venue proper in the district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1391 ("A civil action wherein jurisdiction is founded only on diversity of citizenship may, except as otherwise provided by law, be brought only in (1) a judicial district where any defendant resides[.]"). The Court finds that, although venue is possible in this District, the factors outlined in 28 U.S.C. §1404(a) require transfer of this action to Nevada.

Accordingly, the Court grants Defendant's Motion to Transfer Venue.

Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or Transfer Venue (Doc. No. 5) is **GRANTED**, in part. Defendant's Motion to Transfer is **GRANTED**. A separate Order of Transfer is filed herewith.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendant Olin Corporation's Request for Oral Argument (Doc. No. 18) is **DENIED**.

Dated this 30th day of July, 2010.

/s/ Jean C. Hamilton  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

TRANSF

**U.S. District Court  
Eastern District of Missouri (LIVE) (St. Louis)  
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 4:10-cv-00343-JCH  
Internal Use Only**

Continental Casualty Company v. Olin Corporation  
Assigned to: Honorable Jean C. Hamilton  
Demand: \$75,000  
Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Declaratory Judgment

Date Filed: 02/26/2010  
Date Terminated: 07/30/2010  
Jury Demand: None  
Nature of Suit: 110 Insurance  
Jurisdiction: Diversity

**Plaintiff**

**Continental Casualty Company**

represented by **David E. Heiss**  
FISHER KANARIS PC  
200 S. Wacker Drive  
22nd Floor  
Chicago, IL 60606  
312-474-4386  
Fax: 312-474-1410  
Email: dheiss@fisherkanaris.com  
**LEAD ATTORNEY**  
**ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED**

**Mark C. Goldenberg**  
GOLDENBERG AND HELLER, P.C.  
2227 S. State Route 157  
Edwardsville, IL 62025  
618-656-5150  
Fax: 618-656-6230  
Email: mark@ghalaw.com  
**LEAD ATTORNEY**  
**ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED**

A TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL  
JAMES G. WOODWARD, CLERK  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

BY: C. Abrams  
DEPUTY CLERK

**Peter E. Kanaris**  
FISHER KANARIS PC  
200 S. Wacker Drive  
22nd Floor  
Chicago, IL 60606  
312-474-1406  
Fax: 312-474-1410  
Email: pkanaris@fisherkanaris.com  
**LEAD ATTORNEY**  
**ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED**

**Thomas P. Rosenfeld**  
GOLDENBERG AND HELLER, P.C.

2227 S. State Route 157  
Edwardsville, IL 62025  
618-656-5150  
Fax: 618-656-6230  
Email: tom@ghalaw.com  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

V.

**Defendant**

**Olin Corporation**












represented by **Joel B. Samson**  
HUSCH BLACKWELL SANDERS,  
LLP  
190 Carondelet Plaza  
Suite 600  
St. Louis, MO 63105-3441  
314-480-1500  
Fax: 314-480-1505  
Email: joel.samson@husch.com  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Craig C. Martin**  
JENNER & BLOCK, LLP  
353 N. Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60654-3456  
312-923-2776  
Fax: 312-840-7776  
*PRO HAC VICE*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Eamon P. Kelly**  
JENNER & BLOCK, LLP  
353 N. Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60654-3456  
312-840-7627  
Fax: 312-840-7727  
Email: ekelly@jenner.com  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Lorelie Sue Masters**  
JENNER & BLOCK, LLP  
1099 New York Avenue, NW  
Suite 900  
Washington, DC 20001  
202-639-6076  
Fax: 202-661-4924  
Email: lmasters@jenner.com  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*



Date Filed	#	Docket Text
02/26/2010	 <u>1</u>	COMPLAINT for <i>Declaratory Judgment</i> against defendant Olin Corporation with receipt number 0865000000002167796, in the amount of \$350 Non-Jury Demand,, filed by Continental Casualty Company. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A - Portions of policy, # <u>2</u> Exhibit B - Olin memo, # <u>3</u> Exhibit C - 11/25/09 E-mail, # <u>4</u> Exhibit D - 1/26/10 Position letter, # <u>5</u> Original Filing Form, # <u>6</u> Civil Cover Sheet)(Goldenberg, Mark) Modified on 3/24/2010 (CLA). Exhibit B sealed per atty.'s request. (Entered: 02/26/2010)
02/26/2010	 <u>2</u>	DISCLOSURE OF CORPORATION INTERESTS CERTIFICATE by Plaintiff Continental Casualty CompanyParent companies: 1. The Continental Corporation, CNA Financial Corporation, Loews Corporation, Subsidiaries: NONE, Publicly held company: See No. 1.,. (Goldenberg, Mark) (Entered: 02/26/2010)
03/02/2010		Case Opening Notification, Disclosure of Corporate Interest to be filed by all corporations. No summons issued at this time due to no summons or Notice of Process Server filed with case. Attorney needs to file the appropriate documents. Judge Assigned: Honorable Jean C. Hamilton. (DLT) (Entered: 03/02/2010)
04/13/2010	 <u>3</u>	WAIVER OF SERVICE Returned Executed filed by Continental Casualty Company Olin Corporation waiver signed on 3/24/2010, answer due 5/24/2010. (Rosenfeld, Thomas) (Entered: 04/13/2010)
05/06/2010	 <u>4</u>	ENTRY of Appearance by Joel B. Samson for Defendant Olin Corporation. (Samson, Joel) (Entered: 05/06/2010)
05/06/2010	 <u>5</u>	MOTION to Dismiss Case or <i>Transfer Venue</i> by Defendant Olin Corporation. (Samson, Joel) (Entered: 05/06/2010)
05/06/2010	 <u>6</u>	MEMORANDUM in Support of Motion re <u>5</u> MOTION to Dismiss Case or <i>Transfer Venue</i> filed by Defendant Olin Corporation. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Exhibit B)(Samson, Joel) (Entered: 05/06/2010)
05/06/2010	 <u>7</u>	AFFIDAVIT re <u>6</u> Memorandum in Support of Motion <i>To Dismiss or Transfer Venue</i> by Defendant Olin Corporation. (Samson, Joel) (Entered: 05/06/2010)
05/06/2010	 <u>8</u>	DISCLOSURE OF CORPORATION INTERESTS CERTIFICATE by Defendant Olin Corporation. Parent companies: None, Subsidiaries: See Disclosure, Publicly held company: See Disclosure.,. (Samson, Joel) (Entered: 05/06/2010)
05/20/2010	 <u>9</u>	ENTRY of Appearance by Thomas P. Rosenfeld for Plaintiff Continental Casualty Company. (Rosenfeld, Thomas) (Entered: 05/20/2010)
05/20/2010	 <u>10</u>	MOTION for Extension of Time to File Response/Reply as to <u>5</u> MOTION to Dismiss Case or <i>Transfer Venue</i> by Plaintiff Continental Casualty Company. (Rosenfeld, Thomas) (Entered: 05/20/2010)

05/21/2010	● 11	MOTION for Leave to Appear Pro Hac Vice David E. Heiss (Filing fee \$100 receipt number 0865-2268212) Yes or No - No, by Plaintiff Continental Casualty Company. (Heiss, David) (Entered: 05/21/2010)
05/24/2010	● 12	Docket Text ORDER: Re: <u>10</u> MOTION for Extension of Time to File Response/Reply as to <u>5</u> MOTION to Dismiss Case or Transfer Venue by Plaintiff Continental Casualty Company. ORDERED: Plaintiff is granted until June 3, 2010 to respond to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Case or Transfer Venue. Defendant is granted until June 17, 2010 to file its Reply to Plaintiff's response. Signed by Honorable Jean C. Hamilton on 05/24/2010. (CBL) (Entered: 05/24/2010)
05/24/2010	● 13	Docket Text ORDER: Re: <u>11</u> MOTION for Leave to Appear Pro Hac Vice David E. Heiss by Plaintiff Continental Casualty Company. ORDERED: SO ORDERED. Signed by Honorable Jean C. Hamilton on 05/24/2010. (CBL) (Entered: 05/24/2010)
06/03/2010	● 14	RESPONSE to Motion re <u>5</u> MOTION to Dismiss Case or Transfer Venue filed by Plaintiff Continental Casualty Company. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Exhibit B, # <u>3</u> Exhibit C)(Heiss, David) (Entered: 06/03/2010)
06/04/2010	● 15	ENTRY of Appearance by Peter E. Kanaris for Plaintiff Continental Casualty Company. (Kanaris, Peter) (Entered: 06/04/2010)
06/17/2010	● 16	REPLY in Support of Motion re <u>5</u> MOTION to Dismiss Case or Transfer Venue filed by Defendant Olin Corporation. (Samson, Joel) Modified on 6/18/2010 to redocket as reply (TRC). (Entered: 06/17/2010)
06/17/2010	● 17	DECLARATION filed by Defendant Olin Corporation <i>Supplemental Declaration of Noel Goding in Support of Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or Transfer Venue</i> . (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit C)(Samson, Joel) (Entered: 06/17/2010)
06/17/2010	● 18	MOTION for Ruling on <i>Defendant's Request for Oral Argument</i> by Defendant Olin Corporation. (Samson, Joel) (Entered: 06/17/2010)
06/21/2010	● 19	ORDER SETTING RULE 16 CONFERENCE. This case is assigned to Track: 2 (see order for details). Joint Scheduling Plan due by July 26, 2010. Rule 16 Conference set for 8/5/2010 10:45 AM by Telephone before Honorable Jean C. Hamilton. Signed by Honorable Jean C. Hamilton on 6/21/10. (CLA) Entry Modified on 6/22/2010 to correct time of conference. (CBL). (Entered: 06/21/2010)
06/21/2010	●	(Court only) Set/Terminate Deadlines/Hearings: Joint Scheduling Plan due by 7/26/2010. Rule 16 Conference set for 8/5/2010 10:45 AM in Telephone before Honorable Jean C. Hamilton. (CBL) (Entered: 06/22/2010)
06/22/2010	● 20	MOTION for Leave to Appear Pro Hac Vice Lorelie Sue Masters (Filing fee \$100) by Defendant Olin Corporation. (receipt # 4644015140) (CBL) (Entered: 06/22/2010)
06/22/2010	● 21	MOTION for Leave to Appear Pro Hac Vice Craig Christopher Martin (Filing fee \$100) by Defendant Olin Corporation. (receipt # 4644015140) (CBL) (Entered: 06/22/2010)

06/22/2010	● <u>22</u>	MOTION for Leave to Appear Pro Hac Vice Eamon Padraic Kelly (Filing fee \$100) by Defendant Olin Corporation. (receipt # 4644015140) (CBL) (Entered: 06/22/2010)
06/22/2010	●	Receipt 4644015140 in the amount of \$100.00 for PRO HAC VICE on behalf of Craig Christopher Martin (CCAM) (Entered: 06/23/2010)
06/22/2010	●	Receipt 4644015140 in the amount of \$100.00 for PRO HAC VICE on behalf of Eamon Padraic Kelly (CCAM) (Entered: 06/23/2010)
06/22/2010	●	Receipt 4644015140 in the amount of \$100.00 for PRO HAC VICE on behalf of Lorelie Sue Masters (CCAM) (Entered: 06/23/2010)
06/22/2010	● <u>23</u>	Docket Text ORDER: Re: <u>21</u> , <u>22</u> , <u>20</u> Motions for pro hac vice by attys Masters, Martin and Kelly; ORDERED : SO ORDERED. Signed by Honorable Jean C. Hamilton on 6/22/10. (CEL) (Entered: 06/24/2010)
06/24/2010	●	ORDER RECEIPT: (see receipt) Docket No: 23. Thu Jun 24 08:17:37 CDT 2010 (Lippold, Carrie) (Entered: 06/24/2010)
07/26/2010	● <u>24</u>	JOINT SCHEDULING PLAN by Plaintiff Continental Casualty Company. <i>PROPOSED</i> . (Heiss, David) (Entered: 07/26/2010)
07/30/2010	● <u>25</u>	MEMORANDUM AND ORDER IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants Motion to Dismiss or Transfer Venue (Doc. No. 5) is GRANTED, in part. Defendants Motion to Transfer is GRANTED. A separate Order of Transfer is filed herewith. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Olin Corporations Request for Oral Argument (Doc. No. 18) is DENIED. <u>5</u> <u>18</u> Signed by Honorable Jean C. Hamilton on 7/30/10. (CLA) (Entered: 07/30/2010)
07/30/2010	●	ORDER RECEIPT: (see receipt) Docket No: 25. Fri Jul 30 12:22:19 CDT 2010 (Abrams, Carrie) (Entered: 07/30/2010)
07/30/2010	● <u>26</u>	ORDER OF TRANSFER TO OTHER DISTRICT to: District of Nevada. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plttf.'s complaint is transferred to the United States District Court, District of Nevada. Signed by Honorable Jean C. Hamilton on 7/30/10. (CLA) (Entered: 07/30/2010)
07/30/2010	●	ORDER RECEIPT: (see receipt) Docket No: 26. Fri Jul 30 15:24:55 CDT 2010 (Abrams, Carrie) (Entered: 07/30/2010)